arXiv:1109.1964v1 [math.DG] 9 Sep 2011

# ON THE HARMONICITY OF NORMAL ALMOST CONTACT METRIC STRUCTURES

E. LOUBEAU AND E. VERGARA-DIAZ

Abstract. We consider normal almost contact structures on a Riemannian manifold and, through their associated sections of an ad-hoc twistor bundle, study their harmonicity, as sections or as maps. We rewrite these harmonicity equations in terms of the Riemann curvature tensor and find conditions relating the harmonicity of the almost contact and almost complex structures of the total and base spaces of the Morimoto fibration.

## 1. Introduction

Though the theory of harmonic maps was initially developed in an abstract setting, it quickly became interesting to consider sections of particular fibre bundles, such as the tangent bundle, as maps and put them through the harmonic map machinery.

For the specific case of vector fields, it turned out to be rather disappointing as, at least when the domain is compact and for the Sasaki metric, harmonicity, whether in its fully-fledged version of all possible maps or the watered-down harmonic vector fields where admissible variations are only through sections, is nothing but parallelism. Topology allowing, one can further relax the variational problem by working within the unit tangent bundle, only then do we find non-trivial examples, such as the Hopf vector field on $\mathbb{S}^3$.

Among the more geometrically meaningful bundles, the twistor space, classically for almost complex structures but also for almost contact structures, offers the possibility of revisiting important geometric objects with a new more analytical tool. Working with the corresponding sections of the associated homogeneous fibre bundle, one can seek harmonic sections and harmonic maps, i.e. critical points of the energy functional under variations through sections for the former, or unrestricted for the latter. On even-dimensional spaces, this was carried out in [10, 11] and for almost contact structures in [8], where the *first* and *second* harmonic section equations are computed to be

$$[\bar{\nabla}^*\bar{\nabla}J, J] = 0, \tag{1}$$

$$\nabla^*\nabla\xi = |\nabla\xi|^2 - (1/2)J \circ \operatorname{trace}(\bar{\nabla}J \otimes \nabla\xi), \tag{2}$$

with $\nabla^*\nabla = \operatorname{trace}\nabla^2$, which combined with,

$$\langle\bar{\nabla}_{E_i}J, [\bar{R}(E_i, X), J]\rangle + 8\langle\nabla_{E_i}\xi, R(E_i, X)\xi\rangle = 0,$$

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53C10, 53C15, 53C43, 53D15, 58E20.

*Key words and phrases.* Harmonic section; harmonic map; harmonic unit vector field; normal almost contact structure; Riemannian submersion.

Research carried out under the EC Marie Curie Action no. 219258.

for any tangent vector $X$ and an orthonormal frame $\{E_i\}_{i=1,\dots,2n+1}$, make up the harmonic map equation (see next section for notations).

In this article, we examine the class of normal almost contact structures, which is a partial integrability condition, reformulate the harmonic section equations in terms of the curvature tensor (Theorems 3.1 and 3.2) and conclude that, when the characteristic vector field is harmonic, they coalesce into a single equation (Corollary 3.1). We can then peruse the Morimoto fibration and link in Theorem 4.1 the harmonicity (as sections or maps) of the normal almost contact structure of the total space and the complex structure on the base manifold. We illustrate this approach on twisted products in Example 4.1. A special mention should go the complementary article [2] where harmonic almost contact structures are characterized according to the classification of Chinea and González-Dávila.

We will adopt the Einstein convention on the summation of repeated indices and the following sign for the Riemannian curvature tensor:

$$R(X,Y) = [\nabla_X, \nabla_Y] - \nabla_{[X,Y]}.$$

## 2. Normal almost contact structures

An *almost contact metric structure* on an odd-dimensional differentiable manifold $M^{2n+1}$ is a reduction of the structure group of its tangent bundle to $U(n)\times 1$. More concretely, this is equivalent to the existence of a field of endomorphisms $\theta$ of the tangent space, a vector field $\xi$ and a one-form $\eta$ related by

$$\eta(\xi) = 1, \quad \theta^2 = -Id + \eta \otimes \xi.$$

Then, necessarily, $\theta\xi = 0$ and $\eta \circ \theta = 0$. A Riemannian metric $g$ on $M^{2n+1}$ is *compatible* if it satisfies

$$g(\theta X, \theta Y) = g(X,Y) + \eta(X)\eta(Y),$$

for all vectors $X$ and $Y$ tangent to $M^{2n+1}$, and such a metric can always be constructed from the data $(\theta, \xi, \eta)$. We will only consider almost contact structures compatible with the Riemannian metric. This approach is initially due to Gray [3] and a good treatment can be found in [1].

One can also lift the almost contact structure to the Cartesian line-product $\tilde{M} = M^{2n+1} \times \mathbb{R}$ and construct an almost complex structure $\tilde{J}$ by

$$\tilde{J}(X + f\partial_t) = \theta X - f\xi + \eta(X)\partial_t,$$

for $X \in TM$ and $\partial_t$ the canonical unit vector field tangent to $\mathbb{R}$. When $\tilde{J}$ is integrable, the almost contact structure will be called *normal*, which can be characterized by the equation

$$N_\theta + 2\mathrm{d}\eta \otimes \xi = 0,$$

where $N_\theta$ is the Nijenhuis tensor

$$N_\theta(X,Y) = \theta^2[X,Y] + [\theta X, \theta Y] - \theta[\theta X, Y] - \theta[X, \theta Y].$$

An immediate effect of this condition is that the vector field $\xi$ must be Killing, with geodesic integral lines, and preserve the field of endomorphisms $\theta$, i.e. $\nabla_\xi \theta = 0$ (cf. [1]).

In order to prepare computations of the next section, we derive an alternative characterization of normal almost contact structures.

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let $(M^{2n+1}, g)$ be a Riemannian manifold equipped with an almost contact metric structure $(\theta, \xi, \eta)$. Then this structure is normal if and only if*

$$(\nabla_X \theta)(Y) = (\nabla_{\theta X}\theta)(\theta Y) - \langle Y, \nabla_{\theta X}\xi\rangle \xi - \eta(Y)\nabla_{\theta X}\xi,$$

*for any vectors $X$ and $Y$ on $M^{2n+1}$.*

*Proof.* Let $\tilde{\nabla}$ denote the covariant derivative of $\tilde{M}$ and $\nabla$ the covariant derivative of $M$, on the factor $\mathbb{R}$ derivation will be noted by $D$ and $\partial_t$ will be the unit vector field. Given vectors $X$ and $Y$ in $T_xM$ and constants $F$ and $G$, we consider the vectors $(X + F\partial_t)$ and $(Y + G\partial_t)$ tangent to $\tilde{M} = M \times \mathbb{R}$ and re-write the integrability condition

$$(\tilde{\nabla}_{\tilde{J}(X+F\partial_t)}\tilde{J})(\tilde{J}(Y + G\partial_t)) = (\tilde{\nabla}_{(X+F\partial_t)}\tilde{J})(Y + G\partial_t). \tag{3}$$

For the sake of simplicity, we will evaluate all expressions at a given point $x \in M$ and assume that, around this point, we locally extended the vector $Y \in T_xM$ such that $\nabla Y(x) = 0$.
On the one hand

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(\tilde{\nabla}_{\tilde{J}(X,F\partial_t)}\tilde{J}\right)(Y + G\partial_t)\\
&= \tilde{\nabla}_{(\theta X - F\xi + \eta(X)\partial_t)}(\theta^2 Y - \eta(Y)\xi - G\partial_t) - \tilde{J}(\tilde{\nabla}_{(\theta X - F\xi+\eta(X)\partial_t)}(\theta Y - G\xi + \eta(Y)\partial_t))\\
&= (\nabla_{\theta X}\theta)(\theta Y) - F(\nabla_\xi\theta)(\theta Y) - \langle Y, \nabla_{\theta X}\xi\rangle\xi - \eta(Y)\nabla_{\theta X}\xi + F\langle Y, \nabla_\xi\xi\rangle\xi + F\eta(Y)\nabla_\xi\xi\\
&+ G\theta(\nabla_{\theta X}\xi) - FG\theta(\nabla_\xi\xi) - \eta\left(\nabla_{\theta X}\theta Y - G\theta(\nabla_{\theta X}\xi) - F\nabla_\xi(\theta Y) + FG\nabla_\xi\xi\right),
\end{aligned}$$

while, on the other hand

$$(\tilde{\nabla}_{(X+F\partial_t)}\tilde{J})(Y + G\partial_t) = (\nabla_X\theta)(Y) - G\nabla_X\xi.$$

Equating these two computations by (3) and using different values for $F$ and $G$, yields, for the $M$-component,

$$(\nabla_X \theta)(Y) = (\nabla_{\theta X}\theta)(\theta Y) - \langle Y, \nabla_{\theta X}\xi\rangle\xi - \eta(Y)\nabla_{\theta X}\xi \tag{4}$$

$$0 = -(\nabla_\xi\theta)(\theta Y) + \langle Y, \nabla_\xi\xi\rangle\xi + \eta(Y)\nabla_\xi\xi \tag{5}$$

$$-\nabla_X\xi = \theta(\nabla_{\theta X}\xi) \tag{6}$$

$$\theta(\nabla_\xi\xi) = 0, \tag{7}$$

and for the $\mathbb{R}$-component

$$\eta\left(\nabla_{\theta X}(\theta Y) - G\theta(\nabla_{\theta X}\xi) - F\nabla_\xi(\theta Y) + FG\nabla_\xi\xi\right) = 0. \tag{8}$$

Choosing the right type of vectors, it is easily proved that Equation (4) implies (5), (6) and (7), and also Equation (8), so the normality of an almost contact structure is merely equivalent to (4). □

A direct consequence of Proposition 2.1 is that, on the complement to the $\xi$-direction, the field of endomorphisms $\theta$ behaves like an integrable complex structure.

**Corollary 2.1.** *Let $(M^{2n+1}, g)$ be a Riemannian manifold equipped with a normal almost contact metric structure $(\theta, \xi, \eta)$. Denote by $\mathcal{F}$ the contact sub-bundle, i.e. the distribution orthogonal to $\xi$, by $J$ the restriction of $\theta$ to $\mathcal{F}$ and by $\bar{\nabla}$ the connection induced on $\mathcal{F}$ by $\nabla$. Then*

$$(\bar{\nabla}_{JX}J)(JY) = (\bar{\nabla}_X J)(Y),$$

*for any $X$ and $Y$ in $\mathcal{F}$.*

## 3. Curvature equations of harmonicity

In the more favourable cases, the two equations characterizing the harmonicity of an almost contact structure can be expressed in terms of the curvature tensor of $(M, g)$. This is what we establish for normal almost contact structures in this section, starting with a series of technical preliminary lemmas on a Riemannian manifold $(M^{2n+1}, g)$ equipped with a normal almost contact metric structure $(\theta, \xi, \eta)$.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let $E$ be a horizontal vector field, that is a section of the horizontal sub-bundle $\mathcal{F}$, such that, at some point $x \in M^{2n+1}$, $(\bar{\nabla} E)(x) = 0$. Then, at $x$,*

$$[E, JE] = (\bar{\nabla}_E J)(E) + 2\langle E, \nabla_{\theta E}\xi\rangle\xi.$$

*Proof.* If $E$ is a horizontal vector field then so is $JE$ and, evaluating at $x$,

$$\begin{aligned}
[E, JE] &= \bar{\nabla}_E(JE) + \langle \nabla_E(JE), \xi\rangle\xi - \bar{\nabla}_{JE}E - \langle \nabla_{JE}E, \xi\rangle\xi \\
&= (\bar{\nabla}_E J)(E) + \langle E, \nabla_{\theta E}\xi\rangle\xi + \langle E, \nabla_{\theta E}\xi\rangle\xi.
\end{aligned}$$

□

To compute the first harmonic section equation, we need to express the commutator of $J$ and its Laplacian.

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let $E$ be a section of $\mathcal{F}$, such that, at some point $x \in M^{2n+1}$, $(\bar{\nabla} E)(x) = 0$. Then*

$$[\bar{\nabla}_E\bar{\nabla}_E J, J] = -2[\bar{R}(E, JE), J] - 2\bar{\nabla}_{(\bar{\nabla}_E J)(E)}J - [\bar{\nabla}_{JE}\bar{\nabla}_{JE}J, J],$$

*where $\bar{R}$ is the curvature tensor of the contact sub-bundle $\mathcal{F}$ equipped with the connection $\bar{\nabla}$.*

*Proof.* Let $E$ be a section of $\mathcal{F}$ and $X$ a horizontal vector extended locally such that $\bar{\nabla} X = 0$ at the point $x \in M$ where we evaluate all expressions. By the Leibniz rule, we have

$$(\bar{\nabla}_E\bar{\nabla}_E J)(JX) = \bar{\nabla}_E\big((\bar{\nabla}_E J)(JX)\big) - (\bar{\nabla}_E J)((\bar{\nabla}_E J)(X)).$$

Using Corollary 2.1 and Lemma 3.1, the first term on the right-hand side may be expressed in terms of the curvature tensor $\bar{R}$ as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
&\bar{\nabla}_E\big((\bar{\nabla}_E J)(JX)\big) = -\bar{\nabla}_E\big((\bar{\nabla}_{JE} J)(X)\big) \\
&= -\bar{\nabla}_E\bar{\nabla}_{JE}(JX) + \bar{\nabla}_E(J\bar{\nabla}_{JE}X) \\
&= -\bar{\nabla}_E\bar{\nabla}_{JE}(JX) + J(\bar{\nabla}_E\bar{\nabla}_{JE}X) \\
&= -\bar{\nabla}_{JE}\bar{\nabla}_E(JX) - \bar{\nabla}_{[E,JE]}(JX) - \bar{R}(E, JE)(JX) + J\bar{\nabla}_{JE}\bar{\nabla}_E X \\
&+ J\bar{\nabla}_{[E,JE]}X + J\bar{R}(E, JE)X \\
&= -\bar{\nabla}_{JE}\bar{\nabla}_E(JX) + J\bar{\nabla}_{JE}\bar{\nabla}_E X - \bar{\nabla}_{[E,JE]}(JX) + J\bar{\nabla}_{[E,JE]}X - [\bar{R}(E, JE), J](X) \\
&= -[\bar{R}(E, JE), J](X) - \bar{\nabla}_{JE}\bar{\nabla}_E(JX) + J\bar{\nabla}_{JE}\bar{\nabla}_E X - \bar{\nabla}_{(\bar{\nabla}_E J)(E)}(JX) \\
&- 2\langle E, \nabla_{JE}\xi\rangle\bar{\nabla}_\xi(JX) + J(\bar{\nabla}_{(\bar{\nabla}_E J)(E)}X) + 2\langle E, \nabla_{JE}\xi\rangle J(\bar{\nabla}_\xi X) \\
&= -[\bar{R}(E, JE), J](X) - \bar{\nabla}_{JE}\bar{\nabla}_E(JX) + J\bar{\nabla}_{JE}\bar{\nabla}_E X - \big(\bar{\nabla}_{(\bar{\nabla}_E J)(E)}J\big)(X) \\
&- 2\langle E, \nabla_{JE}\xi\rangle(\bar{\nabla}_\xi J)(X) \\
&= -[\bar{R}(E, JE), J](X) - \bar{\nabla}_{JE}\bar{\nabla}_E(JX) + J\bar{\nabla}_{JE}\bar{\nabla}_E X - \big(\bar{\nabla}_{(\bar{\nabla}_E J)(E)}J\big)(X),
\end{aligned}$$

since $\bar\nabla_\xi J = 0$. Now

$$\begin{aligned} &-\bar\nabla_{JE}\bar\nabla_E(JX) + J(\bar\nabla_{JE}\bar\nabla_E X) \\ &\qquad = -\bar\nabla_{JE}((\bar\nabla_E J)(X)) - \bar\nabla_{JE}(J(\bar\nabla_E X)) + J(\bar\nabla_{JE}\bar\nabla_E X) \\ &\qquad = -\bar\nabla_{JE}((\bar\nabla_E J)(X)) \\ &\qquad = -\bar\nabla_{JE}((\bar\nabla_{JE} J)(JX)) \\ &\qquad = -(\bar\nabla_{JE}\bar\nabla_{JE} J)(JX) - (\bar\nabla_{JE} J)(\bar\nabla_{JE}(JX)) \\ &\qquad = -(\bar\nabla_{JE}\bar\nabla_{JE} J)(JX) - (\bar\nabla_{JE} J)((\bar\nabla_{JE} J)(X)), \end{aligned}$$

because of the way we choose to extend the vector $X$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \bar\nabla_E\big((\bar\nabla_E J)(JX)\big) &= -[\bar R(E,JE),J](X) - \big(\bar\nabla_{(\bar\nabla_E J)(E)} J\big)(X) \\ &\quad - (\bar\nabla_{JE}\bar\nabla_{JE} J)(JX) - (\bar\nabla_{JE} J)\circ(\bar\nabla_{JE} J)(X). \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

By definition of the covariant derivative of $\bar\nabla_E J$

$$\bar\nabla_E((\bar\nabla_E J)(JX)) = (\bar\nabla_E\bar\nabla_E J)(JX) + (\bar\nabla_E J)((\bar\nabla_E J)(X)),$$

hence, using Equation (10), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &(\bar\nabla_E\bar\nabla_E J)(JX) \\ &= -(\bar\nabla_E J)((\bar\nabla_E J)(X)) + \bar\nabla_E((\bar\nabla_E J)(JX)) \\ &= -(\bar\nabla_E J)\circ(\bar\nabla_E J)(X) - [\bar R(E,JE),J](X) - (\bar\nabla_{(\bar\nabla_E J)(E)} J)(X) \\ &- (\bar\nabla_{JE}\bar\nabla_{JE} J)(JX) - (\bar\nabla_{JE} J)\circ(\bar\nabla_{JE} J)(X) \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= -2(\bar\nabla_E J)\circ(\bar\nabla_E J)(X) - [\bar R(E,JE),J](X) - (\bar\nabla_{(\bar\nabla_E J)(E)} J)(X) \\ &- (\bar\nabla_{JE}\bar\nabla_{JE} J)(JX), \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

since, by Corollary 2.1,

$$(\bar\nabla_{JE} J)\circ(\bar\nabla_{JE} J)(X) = (\bar\nabla_E J)\circ(\bar\nabla_E J)(X).$$

To compute the second term of $[\bar\nabla_E\bar\nabla_E J, J](X)$ we proceed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} (\bar\nabla_E\bar\nabla_E J)(J^2X) &= -2J\circ(\bar\nabla_E J)\circ(\bar\nabla_E J)(X) - [\bar R(E,JE),J](JX) \\ &\quad + J(\bar\nabla_{(\bar\nabla_E J)(E)} J)(X) + (\bar\nabla_{JE}\bar\nabla_{JE} J)(X), \end{aligned}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} -J(\bar\nabla_E\bar\nabla_E J)(X) &= 2(\bar\nabla_E J)\circ(\bar\nabla_E J)(X) - [\bar R(E,JE),J](X) \\ &\quad - (\bar\nabla_{(\bar\nabla_E J)(E)} J)(X) + J(\bar\nabla_{JE}\bar\nabla_{JE} J)(X). \end{aligned}$$

Summing up this last equation with (11), we obtain the result. □

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let* $\{F_i\}_{i=1\ldots,2n}$ *be an orthonormal frame on the horizontal subbundle* $\mathcal{F}$*, such that, at the point* $x \in M^{2n+1}$ *where we evaluate all our expressions,* $(\bar\nabla F_i)(x) = 0$*. Define the Lee vector field by* $\bar\delta J = \sum_{i=1}^{2n}(\bar\nabla_{F_i} J)(F_i)$*. Then*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2n} \bar\nabla_{\bar\nabla_{JF_i}(JF_i)} J = \bar\nabla_{J\bar\delta J} J.$$

*Proof.* Combining the Leibniz rule, Corollary 2.1 and $\bar{\nabla} J \circ J = -J \circ \bar{\nabla} J$, yields

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2n} \bar{\nabla}_{JF_i}(JF_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{2n} (\bar{\nabla}_{JF_i} J)(F_i) = -\sum_{i=1}^{2n} (\bar{\nabla}_{F_i} J)(JF_i) = J\bar{\delta}J.$$

□

We can now give the first harmonicity condition for a normal structure.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let $(M^{2n+1}, g)$ be a Riemannian manifold equipped with a normal almost contact metric structure $(\theta, \xi, \eta)$. The first harmonic section equation* (1) *for this structure can be written*

$$[\bar{R}(F_i, JF_i), J] = -2\bar{\nabla}_{\bar{\delta}J} J,$$

*where $\{F_i\}_{i=1\dots,2n}$ is an orthonormal frame on the horizontal sub-bundle $\mathcal{F}$.*

*Proof.* Assume, without loss of generality, that the orthonormal frame $\{F_i\}_{i=1\dots,2n}$ satisfies $\bar{\nabla} F_i(x) = 0$, for all $i$, at the point we evaluate at. First observe that

$$-\bar{\nabla}^* \bar{\nabla} J = \bar{\nabla}_{F_i} \bar{\nabla}_{F_i} J - \bar{\nabla}_{\nabla_{F_i} F_i} J + \bar{\nabla}_\xi \bar{\nabla}_\xi J - \bar{\nabla}_{\nabla_\xi \xi} J = \bar{\nabla}_{F_i} \bar{\nabla}_{F_i} J$$

since $\nabla F_i$ is in the $\xi$-direction, $\bar{\nabla}_\xi J = 0$ and $\nabla_\xi \xi = 0$. Working now with the orthonormal frame $\{JF_i\}_{i=1\dots,2n}$

$$\begin{aligned} -\bar{\nabla}^* \bar{\nabla} J &= \bar{\nabla}_{JF_i} \bar{\nabla}_{JF_i} J - \bar{\nabla}_{\nabla_{JF_i}(JF_i)} J - \bar{\nabla}^2_{\xi,\xi} J \\ &= \bar{\nabla}_{JF_i} \bar{\nabla}_{JF_i} J - \bar{\nabla}_{\bar{\nabla}_{JF_i}(JF_i)} J - \langle \nabla_{JF_i}(JF_i), \xi \rangle \bar{\nabla}_\xi J \\ &= \bar{\nabla}_{JF_i} \bar{\nabla}_{JF_i} J - \bar{\nabla}_{(\bar{\nabla}_{JF_i} J)(F_i)} J \\ &= \bar{\nabla}_{JF_i} \bar{\nabla}_{JF_i} J - \bar{\nabla}_{J\bar{\delta}J} J, \end{aligned}$$

by the previous lemma. Then

$$-[\bar{\nabla}^* \bar{\nabla} J, J](X) = [\bar{\nabla}_{JF_i} \bar{\nabla}_{JF_i} J, J](X) - [\bar{\nabla}_{J\bar{\delta}J} J, J](X).$$

Taking traces in Lemma 3.2, we get

$$\begin{aligned} -[\bar{\nabla}^* \bar{\nabla} J, J](X) = &-2[\bar{R}(F_i, JF_i), J](X) - 2(\bar{\nabla}_{\bar{\delta}J} J)(X) + [\bar{\nabla}^* \bar{\nabla} J, J](X) \\ &- [\bar{\nabla}_{J\bar{\delta}J} J, J](X). \end{aligned}$$

Notice that

$$[\bar{\nabla}_{J\bar{\delta}J} J, J](X) = (\bar{\nabla}_{J\bar{\delta}J} J)(JX) - J(\bar{\nabla}_{J\bar{\delta}J} J)(X) = 2(\bar{\nabla}_{\bar{\delta}J} J)(X),$$

by Corollary 2.1. Therefore

$$[\bar{\nabla}^* \bar{\nabla} J, J](X) = [\bar{R}(F_i, JF_i), J](X) + 2(\bar{\nabla}_{\bar{\delta}J} J)(X),$$

and the theorem follows. □

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let $(M^{2n+1}, g)$ be a Riemannian manifold equipped with a normal almost contact metric structure $(\theta, \xi, \eta)$. Then the second harmonic section equation* (2) *for the structure $(\theta, \xi, \eta)$ is*

$$\nabla^* \nabla \xi - |\nabla \xi|^2 \xi = (1/2)[R(F_i, \theta F_i), \theta](\xi) + (\nabla_{\delta\theta} \theta)(\xi),$$

*where $\{F_i\}_{i=1\dots,2n}$ is an orthonormal frame on the horizontal sub-bundle $\mathcal{F}$ and $\delta\theta = \sum_{i=1}^{2n} (\nabla_{F_i} \theta)(F_i)$ (mind that, by Proposition 2.1, $(\nabla_\xi \theta)(\xi) = 0$).*

*Proof.* Equation (2) can be written

$$(\nabla^*\nabla\xi)^{\mathcal{F}} = -\tfrac{1}{2}J \circ (\bar{\nabla}_{F_i}J)(\nabla_{F_i}\xi) = -\tfrac{1}{2}\theta \circ (\nabla_{F_i}\theta)(\nabla_{F_i}\xi).$$

Working with an orthonormal frame $\{F_i\}_{i=1\dots,2n}$ as in Theorem 3.1, then

$$\begin{aligned}
(\nabla_{F_i}\theta)(\nabla_{F_i}\xi) &= \nabla_{F_i}(\theta(\nabla_{F_i}\xi)) - \theta(\nabla_{F_i}\nabla_{F_i}\xi)\\
&= \nabla_{F_i}\nabla_{\theta F_i}\xi + \theta(\nabla^*\nabla\xi)\\
&= R(F_i,\theta F_i)\xi + \nabla_{\theta F_i}\nabla_{F_i}\xi + \nabla_{[F_i,\theta F_i]}\xi + \theta(\nabla^*\nabla\xi)\\
&= R(F_i,\theta F_i)\xi - \nabla_{\theta F_i}(\theta\nabla_{\theta F_i}\xi) + \nabla_{[F_i,\theta F_i]}\xi + \theta(\nabla^*\nabla\xi)\\
&= R(F_i,\theta F_i)\xi - (\nabla_{\theta F_i}\theta)(\nabla_{\theta F_i}\xi) - \theta(\nabla_{\theta F_i}\nabla_{\theta F_i}\xi) + \nabla_{[F_i,\theta F_i]}\xi\\
&\quad + \theta(\nabla^*\nabla\xi)\\
&= R(F_i,\theta F_i)\xi - (\nabla_{\theta F_i}\theta)(\nabla_{\theta F_i}\xi) - \theta(\nabla_{\nabla_{\theta F_i}(\theta F_i)}\xi) + \nabla_{[F_i,\theta F_i]}\xi\\
&\quad + 2\theta(\nabla^*\nabla\xi).
\end{aligned}$$

Notice that

$$\theta(\nabla_{\nabla_{\theta F_i}(\theta F_i)}\xi) = \nabla_{\theta(\nabla_{\theta F_i}\theta)(F_i)}\xi = \nabla_{J(\bar{\nabla}_{JF_i}J)(F_i)}\xi = -\nabla_{\delta\theta}\xi, \tag{12}$$

by Lemma 3.3, the general property $J \circ \bar{\nabla}J = -\bar{\nabla}J \circ J$ and the geodesic integral curves of $\xi$. Similarly

$$\nabla_{[F_i,\theta F_i]}\xi = \nabla_{\bar{\delta}J+2\langle F_i,\nabla_{\theta F_i}\xi\rangle\xi}\xi = \nabla_{\bar{\delta}J}\xi = \nabla_{\delta\theta}\xi.$$

Therefore

$$(\nabla_{F_i}\theta)(\nabla_{F_i}\xi) = \tfrac{1}{2}R(F_i,\theta F_i)\xi + \theta(\nabla^*\nabla\xi) + \nabla_{\delta\theta}\xi$$

and

$$\tfrac{1}{2}\theta \circ (\nabla_{F_i}\theta)(\nabla_{F_i}\xi) = \tfrac{1}{4}\theta R(F_i,\theta F_i)\xi - \tfrac{1}{2}(\nabla^*\nabla\xi)^{\mathcal{F}} + \tfrac{1}{2}\theta\nabla_{\delta\theta}\xi.$$

Then the second harmonic equation (2) is

$$(\nabla^*\nabla\xi)^{\mathcal{F}} = -\tfrac{1}{2}\theta R(F_i,\theta F_i)\xi - \theta\nabla_{\delta\theta}\xi,$$

and, since $-\theta(\nabla_{\delta\theta}\xi) = (\nabla_{\delta\theta}\theta)(\xi)$ and $-\theta R(F_i,\theta F_i)\xi = [R(F_i,\theta F_i),\theta](\xi)$, the theorem follows. □

When the vector field $\xi$ happens to be harmonic (cf. [6]), as a section of the unit tangent bundle i.e. $\nabla^*\nabla\xi = |\nabla\xi|^2\xi$, the two harmonic section equations merge into a single one.

**Corollary 3.1.** *Let $(M^{2n+1},g)$ be a Riemannian manifold equipped with a normal almost contact metric structure $(\theta,\xi,\eta)$. If the vector field $\xi$ is harmonic, as unit section, then the almost contact structure $(\theta,\xi,\eta)$ is a harmonic section if and only if*

$$[R(F_i,\theta F_i),\theta] = -2\nabla_{\delta\theta}\theta.$$

*Proof.* If $\xi$ is harmonic then the second harmonic equation simplifies to

$$0 = [R(F_i,\theta F_i),\theta](\xi) + 2(\nabla_{\delta\theta}\theta)(\xi).$$

The link between the $\mathcal{F}$-component of the curvature tensor of $(M^{2n+1},g)$ and the curvature of the connection $\bar{\nabla}$ was established in [7]:

$$\bar{R}(X,Y) = R^{\mathcal{F}}(X,Y) + r(\nabla_X\xi,\nabla_Y\xi),$$

where $r$ denotes the curvature tensor of the unit sphere, i.e. $r(X,Y)Z = \langle Y,Z\rangle X - \langle X,Z\rangle Y$. If one proves that

$$[r(\nabla_{F_i}\xi, \nabla_{JF_i}\xi), J] = 0, \tag{13}$$

then the first harmonic section equation could be rewritten as

$$[R(F_i,\theta F_i),\theta] - \langle [R(F_i,\theta F_i),\theta],\xi\rangle\xi = -2\nabla_{\delta\theta}\theta + 2\langle\nabla_{\delta\theta}\theta,\xi\rangle\xi, \tag{14}$$

which, applied to $X \in \mathcal{F}$, gives the first harmonic section equation

$$[R^{\mathcal{F}}(F_i,\theta F_i),\theta](X) = -2(\bar{\nabla}_{\delta J}J)(X),$$

which is the $\mathcal{F}$-part of

$$[R(F_i,\theta F_i),\theta] = -2\nabla_{\delta\theta}\theta.$$

When evaluated on $\xi$, Equation (14) becomes

$$[R(F_i,\theta F_i),\theta](\xi) - \langle [R(F_i,\theta F_i),\theta](\xi),\xi\rangle\xi = -2(\nabla_{\delta\theta}\theta)(\xi) + 2\langle(\nabla_{\delta\theta}\theta)(\xi),\xi\rangle\xi$$

which is the second harmonic section equation

$$[R(F_i,\theta F_i),\theta](\xi) = -2(\nabla_{\delta\theta}\theta)(\xi),$$

i.e. the $\xi$-component of

$$[R(F_i,\theta F_i),\theta] = -2\nabla_{\delta\theta}\theta.$$

To show that (13) is indeed valid, we will only need Lemma 3.3 and the definition of the tensor $r$. Let $V$ be a horizontal vector field, then

$$\begin{aligned} r(\nabla_{F_i}\xi, \nabla_{JF_i}\xi)(JV) &= \langle\nabla_{JF_i}\xi, JV\rangle\nabla_{F_i}\xi - \langle\nabla_{F_i}\xi, JV\rangle\nabla_{JF_i}\xi \\ &= -\langle\nabla_{F_i}\xi, V\rangle J\nabla_{JF_i}\xi + \langle\nabla_{JF_i}\xi, V\rangle J\nabla_{F_i}\xi \\ &= J\big(r((\nabla_{F_i}\xi), \nabla_{JF_i}\xi)V\big), \end{aligned}$$

hence the conclusion. □

## 4. Application to submersions

In the same spirit as Boothby and Wang, Morimoto [4] showed that for a compact Riemannian manifold $(M^{2n+1}, g)$ equipped with an almost contact structure $(\theta,\xi,\eta)$, compatible with $g$, and $\xi$ regular, i.e. when each point admits a neighbourhood through which integral curves of $\xi$ pass at most once, and a holomorphic submersion $\pi : M^{2n+1} \to B^{2n}$ onto an almost Hermitian manifold $(B^{2n},\hat{J},\hat{g})$, then $(M^{2n+1}, g, \theta, \xi, \eta)$ being normal is equivalent to $\hat{J}$ integrable.

The curvature expressions of the previous section enable us to link the harmonicity of the structures on the total space and the base of the Morimoto fibration. This completes a result of [9].

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let $\pi : (M^{2n+1},\theta,\xi,\eta,g) \longrightarrow (B^{2n},\hat{J},\hat{g})$ be a holomorphic Riemannian submersion, i.e. with $d\pi(\theta X) = \hat{J}d\pi(X)$, from a normal almost contact manifold, with $M^{2n+1}$ compact and $\xi$ regular, onto a Hermitian manifold. Then the almost contact structure is a harmonic section if and only if $\hat{J}$ is harmonic, $\xi$ is a (unit) harmonic vector field and $\nabla_{\delta\theta}\xi = 0$. Moreover $(\theta,\xi,\eta)$ defines a harmonic map if and only if $\hat{J}$ is a harmonic map and the length of $\nabla\xi$ is constant along the integral curves (geodesics) of $\xi$.*

*Proof.* Recall from Theorem 3.1, the first harmonic section equation (1):

$$[\bar{R}(F_i, JF_i), J] = -2\bar{\nabla}_{\bar{\delta}J} J.$$

Since $d\pi(\bar{\nabla}_{\bar{\delta}J} J) = \hat{\nabla}_{\delta\hat{J}} \hat{J}$, we concentrate on the left-hand side of the equation. Let $\{F_i\}_{i=1,\dots,2n}$ be a local orthonormal frame of the distribution $\mathcal{F}$ which projects to an orthonormal frame $\{(F_i)_*\}_{i=1,\dots,2n}$ on $B^{2n}$, by the curvature equations of a submersion [5], we have

$$\begin{aligned} g(R(F_i, \theta F_i)Z, H) &= \hat{g}(\hat{R}((F_i)_*, \hat{J}(F_i)_*)Z_*, H_*) - \tfrac{1}{2}\eta([F_i, \theta F_i])\eta([Z, H]) \\ &\quad + \tfrac{1}{4}\eta([\theta F_i, Z])\eta([F_i, H]) + \tfrac{1}{4}\eta([Z, F_i])\eta([\theta F_i, H]) \\ &= \hat{g}(\hat{R}((F_i)_*, \hat{J}(F_i)_*)Z_*, H_*) - g(F_i, \nabla_{\theta F_i}\xi)\eta([Z, H]) \\ &\quad - \tfrac{1}{4}\eta([F_i, \theta Z])\eta([F_i, H]) - \tfrac{1}{4}\eta([Z, F_i])\eta([F_i, \theta H]). \end{aligned}$$

Notice that, for all $X, Y \in \mathcal{F}$, $g([X, Y], \xi) = -2g(Y, \nabla_X \xi)$, since $\xi$ is Killing, then the previous curvature expression becomes

$$\begin{aligned} g(R(F_i, \theta F_i)Z, H) &= \hat{g}(\hat{R}((F_i)_*, \hat{J}(F_i)_*)Z_*, H_*) + 2g(F_i, \nabla_{\theta F_i}\xi)g(\nabla_Z \xi, H) \\ &\quad - g(\nabla_{F_i}\xi, \theta Z)g(\nabla_{F_i}\xi, H) - g(\nabla_Z \xi, F_i)g(\nabla_{F_i}\xi, \theta H). \end{aligned}$$

By the expression of $\bar{R}$ given in the proof of Corollary 3.1 and Equation (13),

$$[\bar{R}(F_i, JF_i), J] = [R^{\mathcal{F}}(F_i, JF_i), J],$$

and for $Z$ and $H$ in $\mathcal{F}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} g([R^{\mathcal{F}}(F_i, JF_i), J](Z), H) &= g(R^{\mathcal{F}}(F_i, JF_i)(JZ), H) + g(R^{\mathcal{F}}(F_i, JF_i)Z, JH) \\ &= \hat{g}(\hat{R}((F_i)_*, \hat{J}(F_i)_*)\hat{J}Z_*, H_*) + 2g(F_i, \nabla_{\theta F_i}\xi)g(\nabla_{\theta Z}\xi, H) \\ &\quad + g(\nabla_{F_i}\xi, Z)g(\nabla_{F_i}\xi, H) - g(\nabla_{\theta Z}\xi, F_i)g(\nabla_{F_i}\xi, \theta H) \\ &\quad + \hat{g}(\hat{R}((F_i)_*, \hat{J}(F_i)_*)Z_*, \hat{J}H_*) + 2g(F_i, \nabla_{\theta F_i}\xi)g(\nabla_Z \xi, \theta H) \\ &\quad - g(\nabla_{F_i}\xi, \theta Z)g(\nabla_{F_i}\xi, \theta H) + g(\nabla_Z \xi, F_i)g(\nabla_{F_i}\xi, H) \\ &= \hat{g}(\hat{R}((F_i)_*, \hat{J}(F_i)_*)\hat{J}Z_*, H_*) + \hat{g}(\hat{R}((F_i)_*, \hat{J}(F_i)_*)Z_*, \hat{J}H_*) \\ &= \hat{g}([\hat{R}((F_i)_*, \hat{J}(F_i)_*), \hat{J}]Z_*, H_*), \end{aligned}$$

which gives the first condition.
The second harmonic section equation (2) is

$$2(\nabla^*\nabla\xi)^{\mathcal{F}} = [R(F_i, \theta F_i), \theta](\xi) + 2(\nabla_{\delta\theta}\theta)(\xi),$$

but

$$[R(F_i, \theta F_i), \theta](\xi) = -\theta R(F_i, \theta F_i)\xi,$$

and, if $Z \in \mathcal{F}$,

$$\langle \theta R(F_i, \theta F_i)\xi, Z\rangle = \langle R(F_i, \theta F_i)\theta Z, \xi\rangle.$$

Then by [5]

$$\begin{aligned} \langle R(F_i, \theta F_i)\theta Z, \xi\rangle &= \langle (\nabla_{\theta Z} A)_{F_i}(\theta F_i), \xi\rangle + \langle A_{F_i}(\theta F_i), T_\xi(\theta Z)\rangle - \langle A_{\theta F_i}(\theta Z), T_\xi F_i\rangle \\ &\quad - \langle A_{\theta Z} F_i, T_\xi(\theta F_i)\rangle \end{aligned}$$

and, if $X \in \mathcal{F}$, $T_\xi X = 0$, since $\nabla_\xi \xi = 0$, so

$$\begin{aligned} \langle R(F_i, \theta F_i)\theta Z, \xi\rangle &= \langle \nabla_{\theta Z}\big(A_{F_i}(\theta F_i)\big) - A_{\nabla_{\theta Z} F_i}(\theta F_i) - A_{F_i}(\nabla_{\theta Z}(\theta F_i)), \xi\rangle \\ &= (\theta Z)\big(\langle \nabla_{F_i}(\theta F_i), \xi\rangle\big) - \langle \nabla_{\bar{\nabla}_{\theta Z} F_i}(\theta F_i), \xi\rangle + \langle \nabla_{\theta Z}(\theta F_i), \nabla_{F_i}\xi\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \nabla_{F_i}(\theta F_i), \xi\rangle &= \langle (\nabla_{\theta F_i}\theta)(\theta F_i), \xi\rangle \\
&= \langle (\nabla_{F_i}\theta)(F_i), \xi\rangle + \langle F_i, \nabla_{\theta F_i}\xi\rangle \\
&= \langle (\nabla_{F_i}\theta)(F_i), \xi\rangle + \langle \nabla_{F_i}(\theta F_i), \xi\rangle \\
&= 2\langle (\nabla_{F_i}\theta)(F_i), \xi\rangle,
\end{aligned}$$

hence $\langle (\nabla_{F_i}\theta)(F_i), \xi\rangle = 0$. Moreover, by the choice of extension, $\bar{\nabla}_{\theta Z} F_i = 0$ at the point we evaluate at, and

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \nabla_{\theta Z}(\theta F_i), \nabla_{F_i}\xi\rangle &= -\langle (\nabla_{\theta Z}\theta)(\theta^2 F_i), \nabla_{F_i}\xi\rangle \\
&= -\langle (\nabla_{Z}\theta)(\theta F_i), \nabla_{F_i}\xi\rangle \\
&= -\langle (\nabla_{Z}\theta)(\theta^2 F_i), \nabla_{\theta F_i}\xi\rangle \\
&= \langle \nabla_Z(\theta F_i) - \theta(\nabla_Z F_i), \nabla_{\theta F_i}\xi\rangle \\
&= -\langle \theta\nabla_Z(\theta F_i), \nabla_{F_i}\xi\rangle,
\end{aligned}$$

but on the other hand

$$\langle \nabla_{\theta Z}(\theta F_i), \nabla_{F_i}\xi\rangle = -\langle (\nabla_Z\theta)(\theta F_i), \nabla_{F_i}\xi\rangle = \langle \theta\nabla_Z(\theta F_i), \nabla_{F_i}\xi\rangle.$$

So $\langle \nabla_{\theta Z}(\theta F_i), \nabla_{F_i}\xi\rangle = 0$ and Equation (2) reduces to

$$(\nabla^*\nabla\xi)^{\mathcal{F}} + \theta(\nabla_{\delta\theta}\xi) = 0.$$

If $Z \in \mathcal{F}$ then, with Equation (12),

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \theta\big(\nabla^*\nabla\xi\big), Z\rangle - \langle \nabla_{\delta\theta}\xi, Z\rangle &= \langle \nabla_{\theta F_i}\nabla_{\theta F_i}\xi - \nabla_{\nabla_{\theta F_i}(\theta F_i)}\xi, \theta Z\rangle - \langle \nabla_{\delta\theta}\xi, Z\rangle \\
&= \langle \nabla_{\theta F_i}\nabla_{\theta F_i}\xi, \theta Z\rangle - 2\langle \nabla_{\delta\theta}\xi, Z\rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, using O'Neill's formulas and, again, Equation (12)

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle -\nabla^*\nabla\xi, \theta Z\rangle - \langle \nabla_{\delta\theta}\xi, Z\rangle \\
&= \langle -\operatorname{Ricci}\xi, \theta Z\rangle - \langle \nabla_{\delta\theta}\xi, Z\rangle \\
&= \langle -R(\xi, \theta F_i)\theta F_i, \theta Z\rangle - \langle \nabla_{\delta\theta}\xi, Z\rangle \\
&= \langle \big(\nabla_{\theta F_i}A\big)_{\theta F_i}(\theta Z), \xi\rangle + \langle A_{\theta F_i}(\theta Z), T_\xi(\theta F_i)\rangle - \langle A_{\theta Z}(\theta F_i), T_\xi(\theta F_i)\rangle \\
&- \langle A_{\theta F_i}(\theta F_i), T_\xi(\theta Z)\rangle - \langle \nabla_{\delta\theta}\xi, Z\rangle \\
&= \langle \nabla_{\theta F_i}\big(A_{\theta F_i}(\theta Z)\big) - A_{\nabla_{\theta F_i}\theta F_i}(\theta Z) - A_{\theta F_i}\big(\nabla_{\theta F_i}(\theta Z)\big), \xi\rangle - \langle \nabla_{\delta\theta}\xi, Z\rangle \\
&= (\theta F_i)(\langle \nabla_{\theta F_i}(\theta Z), \xi\rangle) - \langle \nabla_{\bar{\nabla}_{\theta F_i}\theta F_i}(\theta Z), \xi\rangle - \langle \nabla_{\theta F_i}\big(\bar{\nabla}_{\theta F_i}(\theta Z)\big), \xi\rangle - \langle \nabla_{\delta\theta}\xi, Z\rangle \\
&= \langle \nabla_{\theta F_i}\nabla_{\theta F_i}(\theta Z), \xi\rangle + \langle \nabla_{\theta F_i}(\theta Z), \nabla_{\theta F_i}\xi\rangle + \langle \theta Z, \nabla_{\nabla_{\theta F_i}(\theta F_i)}\xi\rangle + \langle \nabla_{\theta F_i}(\theta Z), \nabla_{\theta F_i}\xi\rangle \\
&- \langle \nabla_{\delta\theta}\xi, Z\rangle \\
&= \langle \nabla_{\theta F_i}\nabla_{\theta F_i}(\theta Z), \xi\rangle + 2\langle \nabla_{\theta F_i}(\theta Z), \nabla_{\theta F_i}\xi\rangle \\
&= -\langle \nabla_{\theta F_i}\nabla_{\theta F_i}\xi, \theta Z\rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Comparing these two expressions, we deduce that the second harmonic section equation is satisfied if and only if $\xi$ is a (unit) harmonic vector field and $\nabla_{\delta\theta}\xi = 0$. Now, to show that a harmonic almost contact structure is in fact a harmonic map, we need to check that

$$\langle (\bar{\nabla}_{E_i}J)(F_j), [R^{\mathcal{F}}(E_i, X), \theta]F_j\rangle + 8\langle \nabla_{E_i}\xi, R(E_i, X)\xi\rangle = 0, \tag{15}$$

for any $X \in TM$, where $\{F_i\}_{i=1,\dots,2n}$ is a local orthonormal frame of the distribution $\mathcal{F}$ and $\{E_i\}_{i=1,\dots,2n+1} = \{F_i\}_{i=1,\dots,2n} \cup \{\xi\}$ a local orthonormal frame of $TM$. First observe that in general

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle(\bar\nabla_{E_i}J)(F_j),[R^{\mathcal{F}}(E_i,X),\theta]F_j\rangle\\
&=\langle(\bar\nabla_{E_i}J)(F_j),R^{\mathcal{F}}(E_i,X)(\theta F_j)\rangle+\langle\theta((\bar\nabla_{E_i}J)(F_j)),R^{\mathcal{F}}(E_i,X)(F_j)\rangle\\
&=\langle(\bar\nabla_{E_i}J)(F_j),R^{\mathcal{F}}(E_i,X)(\theta F_j)\rangle+\langle-\theta^2((\bar\nabla_{E_i}J)(F_j)),R^{\mathcal{F}}(E_i,X)(\theta F_j)\rangle\\
&=2\langle(\bar\nabla_{E_i}J)(F_j),R(E_i,X)(\theta F_j)\rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

If we extend $X$ into a basic vector field in $\mathcal{F}$, still called $X$, and use O'Neill's formulas [5], we have

$$\begin{align}
&\langle R(E_i,X)(\theta F_j),(\bar\nabla_{E_i}J)(F_j)\rangle \notag\\
&\qquad=\hat{g}(\hat{R}((F_i)_*,X_*)(\hat{J}(F_j)_*),(\hat\nabla_{(F_i)_*}\hat{J})(F_j)_*) \tag{16}\\
&\qquad-2\langle\nabla_{F_i}X,\xi\rangle\langle\nabla_{\theta F_j}((\bar\nabla_{F_i}J)(F_j)),\xi\rangle \tag{17}\\
&\qquad+\langle\nabla_X(\theta F_j),\xi\rangle\langle\nabla_{F_i}((\bar\nabla_{F_i}J)(F_j)),\xi\rangle \tag{18}\\
&\qquad+\langle\nabla_{\theta F_j}F_i,\xi\rangle\langle\xi,\nabla_X((\bar\nabla_{F_i}J)(F_j))\rangle \tag{19}\\
&\qquad+\langle R(\xi,X)(\theta F_j),(\bar\nabla_\xi J)(F_j)\rangle. \tag{20}
\end{align}$$

The term (20) must vanish since $\xi$ has geodesic integral curves and $\nabla_\xi X$ must be in $\mathcal{F}$, so the relation $\nabla_\xi\theta = 0$ implies $\nabla_\xi J = \bar\nabla_\xi J = 0$. If we replace the basis $\{F_j\}_{i=1,\dots,2n}$ by $\{\theta F_j\}_{i=1,\dots,2n}$ in the second term of (17), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle\nabla_{\theta F_j}((\bar\nabla_{F_i}J)(F_j)),\xi\rangle &= -\langle(\bar\nabla_{F_i}J)(F_j),\nabla_{\theta F_j}\xi\rangle\\
&= -\langle(\bar\nabla_{F_i}J)(\theta F_j),\nabla_{\theta^2 F_j}\xi\rangle\\
&= \langle(\bar\nabla_{F_i}J)(F_j),\nabla_{\theta F_j}\xi\rangle,
\end{aligned}$$

so (17) must also vanish. Finally, we combine (18) and (19) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle\nabla_X(\theta F_j),\xi\rangle\langle\nabla_{F_i}((\bar\nabla_{F_i}J)(F_j)),\xi\rangle+\langle\nabla_{\theta F_j}F_i,\xi\rangle\langle\xi,\nabla_X((\bar\nabla_{F_i}J)(F_j))\rangle\\
&=\langle\theta F_j,\nabla_X\xi\rangle\langle(\bar\nabla_{F_i}J)(F_j),\nabla_{F_i}\xi\rangle+\langle F_i,\nabla_{\theta F_j}\xi\rangle\langle\nabla_X\xi,(\bar\nabla_{F_i}J)(F_j)\rangle\\
&=\langle(\bar\nabla_{F_i}J)(-\theta\nabla_X\xi),\nabla_{F_i}\xi\rangle+\langle\nabla_X\xi,(\bar\nabla_{F_i}J)(\nabla_{\theta F_i}\xi)\rangle\\
&=2\langle\nabla_X\xi,\nabla_{F_i}(\theta\nabla_{\theta F_i}\xi)-\theta(\nabla_{F_i}\nabla_{\theta F_i}\xi)\rangle\\
&=2\langle\nabla_X\xi,\nabla^*\nabla\xi-\theta(\nabla_{F_i}\nabla_{\theta F_i}\xi)\rangle\\
&=0,
\end{aligned}$$

since we assume that the second harmonic section equation is satisfied (cf. page 7). Therefore, for $X \in \mathcal{F}$, the first term of the harmonic map equation vanishes if and only if

$$\hat{g}(\hat{R}((F_i)_*,X_*)(\hat{J}(F_j)_*),(\hat\nabla_{(F_i)_*}\hat{J})(F_j)_*)=0.$$

Still for $X \in \mathcal{F}$, the second term is

$$
\begin{aligned}
&- \langle R(F_i, X)\nabla_{F_i}\xi, \xi)\\
&= -\Big\{\langle(\nabla_{\nabla_{F_i}\xi}A)_{F_i}X, \xi\rangle + \langle A_{F_i}X, T_\xi(\nabla_{F_i}\xi)\rangle - \langle A_X(\nabla_{F_i}\xi), T_\xi F_i\rangle - \langle A_{\nabla_{F_i}\xi}F_i, T_\xi X\rangle\Big\}\\
&= -\langle \nabla_{\nabla_{F_i}\xi}(A_{F_i}X) - A_{\nabla_{\nabla_{F_i}\xi}F_i}X - A_{F_i}(\nabla_{\nabla_{F_i}\xi}X), \xi\rangle\\
&= -\Big\{ - (\nabla_{F_i}\xi)(\langle X, \nabla_{F_i}\xi\rangle) + \langle X, \nabla_{\bar{\nabla}_{\nabla_{F_i}\xi}F_i}\xi\rangle + \langle \nabla_{\nabla_{F_i}\xi}X, \nabla_{F_i}\xi\rangle\Big\}\\
&= \langle X, \nabla^2_{\nabla_{F_i}\xi, F_i}\xi\rangle\\
&= \langle R(F_i, X)\nabla_{F_i}\xi, \xi\rangle,
\end{aligned}
$$

which is the opposite of the first line, so this term must vanish as well.
If $X = \xi$, the first term in the harmonic map equation (15) is

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\langle(\bar{\nabla}_{E_i}J)(F_j), R(E_i, \xi)(\theta F_j)\rangle\\
&= \langle R(\theta F_j, (\bar{\nabla}_{F_i}J)(F_j))F_i, \xi\rangle\\
&= \langle(\nabla_{F_i}A)_{\theta F_j}((\bar{\nabla}_{F_i}J)(F_j)), \xi\rangle + \langle A_{\theta F_j}((\bar{\nabla}_{F_i}J)(F_j)), T_\xi F_i\rangle\\
&- \langle A_{(\bar{\nabla}_{F_i}J)(F_j)}F_i, T_\xi(\theta F_j)\rangle - \langle A_{F_i}(\theta F_j), T_\xi((\bar{\nabla}_{F_i}J)(F_j))\rangle\\
&= F_i\langle \nabla_{\theta F_j}((\bar{\nabla}_{F_i}J)(F_j)), \xi\rangle - \langle \nabla_{\bar{\nabla}_{F_i}\theta F_j}((\bar{\nabla}_{F_i}J)(F_j)), \xi\rangle - \nabla_{\theta F_j}(\bar{\nabla}_{F_i}((\bar{\nabla}_{F_i}J)(F_j)), \xi\rangle\\
&= \langle \nabla_{F_i}\nabla_{\theta F_j}((\bar{\nabla}_{F_i}J)(F_j)), \xi\rangle + \langle \nabla_{\theta F_j}((\bar{\nabla}_{F_i}J)(F_j)), \nabla_{F_i}\xi\rangle\\
&+ \langle \nabla_{F_i}((\bar{\nabla}_{F_i}J)(F_j)), \nabla_{\theta F_j}\xi\rangle + \langle(\bar{\nabla}_{F_i}J)(F_j), \nabla_{\bar{\nabla}_{F_i}\theta F_j}\xi\rangle\\
&= -\langle \nabla^2_{F_i,\theta F_j}\xi, (\bar{\nabla}_{F_i}J)(F_j)\rangle\\
&= \langle R(\theta F_j, (\bar{\nabla}_{F_i}J)(F_j))\xi, F_i\rangle,
\end{aligned}
$$

hence $\langle R(\theta F_j, (\bar{\nabla}_{F_i}J)(F_j))F_i, \xi\rangle = 0$.
As to the second term of the harmonic map equation when $X = \xi$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\langle \nabla_{E_i}\xi, R(E_i, \xi)\xi\rangle = -\langle R(F_i, \xi)\nabla_{F_i}\xi, \xi\rangle\\
&= -\Big\{\langle(\nabla_{F_i}T)_\xi\xi, \nabla_{F_i}\xi\rangle + \langle(\nabla_\xi A)_{F_i}(\nabla_{F_i}\xi), \xi\rangle - \langle T_\xi F_i, T_\xi(\nabla_{F_i}\xi)\rangle + \langle A_{F_i}\xi, A_{\nabla_{F_i}\xi}\xi\rangle\Big\},
\end{aligned}
$$

but $T_\xi F_i = 0$, $\langle A_{F_i}\xi, \nabla_{\nabla_{F_i}\xi}\xi\rangle = \langle \nabla_{F_i}\xi, \nabla_{\nabla_{F_i}\xi}\xi\rangle = 0$, since $\xi$ is Killing, and

$$
\langle(\nabla_{F_i}T)_\xi\xi, \nabla_{F_i}\xi\rangle = \langle \nabla_{F_i}(T_\xi\xi) - T_{\nabla_{F_i}\xi}\xi - T_\xi(\nabla_{F_i}\xi), \nabla_{F_i}\xi\rangle = 0.
$$

The only remaining term is

$$
-\langle(\nabla_\xi A)_{F_i}(\nabla_{F_i}\xi), \xi\rangle = -\langle \nabla_\xi(\langle \nabla_{F_i}\nabla_{F_i}\xi, \xi\rangle\xi) - \nabla_{\bar{\nabla}_\xi F_i}\nabla_{F_i}\xi - \nabla_{F_i}\nabla_\xi\nabla_{F_i}\xi, \xi\rangle
$$

but, as $F_i$ is projectable, the Lie bracket $[\xi, F_i]$ is vertical, so $\langle \nabla_{\bar{\nabla}_\xi F_i}\nabla_{F_i}\xi, \xi\rangle = 0$, hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\langle(\nabla_\xi A)_{F_i}(\nabla_{F_i}\xi), \xi\rangle &= -\big\{\xi(\langle \nabla_{F_i}\nabla_{F_i}\xi, \xi\rangle) + \langle \nabla_\xi\nabla_{F_i}\xi, \nabla_{F_i}\xi\rangle\big\}\\
&= -\big\{ - \xi(\langle \nabla_{F_i}\xi, \nabla_{F_i}\xi\rangle) + \tfrac{1}{2}\xi(\langle \nabla_{F_i}\xi, \nabla_{F_i}\xi\rangle)\big\}\\
&= \xi(\tfrac{|\nabla\xi|^2}{2}),
\end{aligned}
$$

so the harmonic map equation, for $X = \xi$, is satisfies if and only if $\xi(\frac{|\nabla\xi|^2}{2}) = 0$. □

**Example 4.1** (Twisted product)**.** Let $(M, J, g)$ be a compact Hermitian manifold and $(,)$ the Euclidean metric on $\mathbb{S}^1$. Consider the manifold $\bar{M} = M \times \mathbb{S}^1$ with the Riemannian twisted product $\bar{g} = f^2 g + F^2(,)$, where $f \in C^\infty(M)$ and $F \in$

$C^\infty(M\times\mathbb{S}^1)$ are non-zero functions, and equipped with the almost contact structure $(\theta,\xi,\eta)$ where

$$\xi = \tfrac{1}{F}\partial_t, \quad \eta X = \bar{g}(X,\xi), \quad \theta X = \begin{cases} JX, & \text{if } X\in TM,\\ 0, & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases}$$

Let $X,Y$ vector fields on $M$ then, by the Koszul formula, one easily checks that

$$\nabla^{\bar{g}}_{\xi}\xi = \nabla^{\bar{g}}_{X}\xi = \nabla^{\bar{g}}_{\xi}X = 0.$$

By Proposition 2.1 the almost contact structure $(\theta,\xi,\eta)$ is normal since the only non trivial case is when $X,Y\in TM$, and then

$$(\nabla^{\bar{g}}_{\theta X}\theta)(\theta Y) - (\nabla^{\bar{g}}_{X}\theta)(Y) = (\nabla^{f^2g}_{JX}J)(JY) - (\nabla^{f^2g}_{X}J)(Y) = 0,$$

because $(M,J,f^2g)$ is always integrable. If $f$ is constant, we can apply the results of Theorem 4.1 and, since $\xi$ is parallel, the harmonicity of $(\theta,\xi,\eta)$ (either as a section or a map) is equivalent to the harmonicity of $J$.

For a non-constant function $f$, the submersion is no longer Riemannian (though $\xi$ remains harmonic) and we have to go back to Corollary 3.1. Then the equation

$$[R(F_i,\theta F_i),\theta](X) = -2(\nabla_{\delta\theta}\theta)(X),$$

is clearly satisfied for $X=\xi$ and, for $X\in TM$, is nothing but the harmonicity condition for the complex structure $J$ on $(M,f^2g)$:

$$[R(F_i,JF_i),J](X) = (\nabla_{\delta J}J)(X),$$

all quantities being, of course, with respect to the metric $f^2g$. Now, one can easily show that

$$[R^{f^2g}(F_i,JF_i),J](X) = [R^g(fF_i,fJF_i),J](X), \tag{21}$$

where $\{F_i\}_{i=1,\dots,2n}$ is an $f^2g$-orthonormal frame while

$$\delta_{f^2g}J = (1/f^2)\delta_g J + 2(n-1)/f^3 J(\operatorname{grad}_g f),$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned}(\nabla^{f^2g}_{\delta_{f^2g}J}J)(X) = {}& (1/f^2)(\nabla^g_{\delta_g J}J)(X) + 2(n-1)/(f^3)(\nabla^g_{J\operatorname{grad}^g f}J)(X) + (1/f^3)(JX)(f)\delta_g J\\ & - (1/f^3)X(f)J\delta_g J - (1/f^3)g(\delta_g J,JX)\operatorname{grad}_g f + (1/f^3)g(\delta_g J,X)J\operatorname{grad}_g f,\end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

and equating (21) and (22) gives the harmonic section equation in terms of the original metric $g$.

## REFERENCES

[1] D. E. Blair, *Riemannian geometry of contact and symplectic manifolds*, Progress in Mathematics, vol. 203 (Birkauser, 2002).

[2] J. C González-Dávila and F. Martin Cabrera, Harmonic almost contact structures via the intrinsic torsion, *Israel J. Math.* **81** (2011), 145–188.

[3] J. Gray, Some global properties of contact structures, *Ann. of Math.* **69** (1959), 421–450.

[4] A. Morimoto, On normal almost contact structures with a regularity, *Tohoku Math. J.* **16** (1964), 90-104.

[5] B. O'Neill, The fundamental equations of a submersion, *Michigan Math. J.* **13** (1966), 459-470.

[6] D. Perrone, Contact metric manifolds whose characteristic vector field is a harmonic vector field, *Diff. Geom. Appl.* **20** (2004), 367–378.

[7] E. Vergara-Diaz, Harmonic sections and almost contact structures, Ph.D. thesis, University of York, 2006.

[8] E. Vergara-Diaz and C. M. Wood, Harmonic almost contact structures, *Geom. Dedicata* **123** (2006), 131-151.
[9] E. Vergara-Diaz and C. M. Wood, Harmonic contact metric structures and submersions, *Int. J. Math.* **20** (2009), 209-225.
[10] C. M. Wood, Instability of the nearly Kähler six-sphere, *J. reine angew. Math.* **439** (1993), 205-212.
[11] C. M. Wood, Harmonic almost complex structures, *Compositio Mathematica* **99** (1995), 183-211.

Département de Mathématiques, Université de Bretagne Occidentale, 6, avenue Victor Le Gorgeu, CS 93837, 29238 Brest Cedex 3, France
*E-mail address*: `Eric.Loubeau@univ-brest.fr`

School of Mathematics, Trinity College Dublin, Dublin 2, Ireland
*E-mail address*: `evd@maths.tcd.ie`